



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/730,200	12/05/2000	Jon Schmidt Kindred	899.036US1	7265	
21186 75	90 04/19/2006		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			GRIER, L.	GRIER, LAURA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		2615		
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/730,200	KINDRED ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura A. Grier	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ja	nuary 2006					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
· <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 16-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-15,21-43 and 45-59 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 16-20</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Eveniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		· ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of 10111 F 10-132.				
<u> </u>						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau		_				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
American W.N.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 442)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

The applicant elected group I, which includes 1-10 and 16-20. However, upon further consideration another election requirement is deemed necessary among the elected group.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Claim 1 includes a microphone and digital processor which includes an inhibitor with

varying function in respect to the dependency of the following:

Species A – claim 2 is drawn to the inhibitor including two representations

Species B – claim 3 is drawn to the inhibitor including a multiple of time-circuits

Species C - claim 4 is drawn to the inhibitor including a detector having a Hilbert

Filter

Species D – claim 5 is drawn to the inhibitor including an estimator

Claim 6 includes sampling, smoothing and adjusting, therein, in which smoothing includes varying functionality in respect to the dependency of the following:

Species A – claims 7-8 is drawn to smoothing including creating two representations of the input signal

Species B – claims 9-10 is drawn to smoothing including using a Hilbert filter

the Hilbert filter

Claims 16-17, which includes a preamplifier, a sampler, a detector, an adjuster, and a filter (claim 17), wherein the **detector** includes varying functionality in respect to the dependency of the following:

Species A – claim 18 is drawn to the detector including a Hilbert filter

Subspecies – claims 19 and 20 are drawn to the detector squaring each signal of

The species are independent or distinct because the specific components and their different functions.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Timothy Bianchi on 4/17-06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Grier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866,7217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2615

lag